Phase Modulation for Discrete-time Wiener Phase Noise Channels with Oversampling at High SNR

Hassan Ghozlan
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089 USA
ghozlan@usc.edu

Gerhard Kramer
Institute for Communications Engineering
Technische Universität München
80333 Munich, Germany
gerhard.kramer@tum.de

arXiv:1405.2605v1 [cs.IT] 12 May 2014

***Abstract*—A discrete-time Wiener phase noise channel model is introduced in which multiple samples are available at the output for every input symbol. A lower bound on the capacity is developed. At high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), if the number of samples per symbol grows with the square root of the SNR, the capacity pre-log is at least 3/4. This is strictly greater than the capacity pre-log of the Wiener phase noise channel with only one sample per symbol, which is 1/2. It is shown that amplitude modulation achieves a pre-log of 1/2 while phase modulation achieves a pre-log of at least 1/4.**

I. Introduction

Communication systems often suffer from phase noise due to the instability of oscillators [1]. The characteristics of the phase noise process vary by application. In systems with phase tracking devices, such as phase-locked loops (PLL), the residual phase noise follows a Tikhonov distribution [2]. In Digital Video Broadcasting DVB-S2, an example of a satellite communication system, the phase noise process is modeled by the sum of the outputs of two infinite-impulse response filters driven by the same white Gaussian noise process [3]. In fiber-optic communication, the phase noise in laser oscillators is modeled by a Wiener process [4].

For *discrete-time* phase noise channels with a stationary and ergodic phase noise process (whose entropy rate is finite), Lapidoth showed that the capacity grows logarithmically with the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) with a pre-log factor equal to 1/2 at high SNR [5]. The two cases of Wiener phase noise and auto-regressive-moving-average (ARMA) phase noise fall into this class. At finite SNR, numerical methods exist for computing (bounds on) the information rate for Wiener and ARMA phase noise [6]–[11].

In [12]–[15], *continuous-time* phase noise channels are studied. Continuous-time white phase noise is considered in [14], [15]. In [12] and [13], a discrete-time phase noise channel is developed by discretizing a continuous-time Wiener phase noise channel by oversampling the output of an integrate-and-dump filter at the receiver. It was shown in [12] that, at high SNR, the information rate grows logarithmically with SNR with a pre-log factor equal to 1/2 when the number of samples per symbol grows with the square root of the SNR. This result was established by employing amplitude modulation only. It was shown in [13] through numerical simulations that oversampling improves the information rate for Phase Shift Keying (PSK) modulation (see Fig. 5 in [13]). The question of whether phase modulation can increase the pre-log factor at high SNR is left open.

We study in this paper a discrete-time channel model similar to [5]–[11], namely one without amplitude noise that would arise due to filtering before sampling [12]–[15]. We do this as a first step towards addressing the more complex continuous-time model. Our approach is similar to [12], [13] in that we consider oversampling receivers, where the oversampling rate increases with the square root of the SNR to achieve the maximum pre-log of 1/2 for amplitude modulation. However, as we will show, we achieve an additional pre-log of 1/4 by using only 2 samples per symbol.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the discrete-time model of [12] for the Wiener phase noise channel with oversampling is described and a simplified discrete-time channel model is introduced. A lower bound on capacity of the simplified channel is derived in Section III and the paper is concluded with Section IV.

II. Discrete-time Model

We use the following notation: $j = \sqrt{-1}$, * denotes the complex conjugate, δ_D is the Dirac delta function, $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the ceiling operator. We use X^k to denote $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)$. We describe the discrete-time model developed in [12]. Let X^n be the input symbols. For every input symbol, there are L output samples. The k-th output sample is

$$Y_k^{\mathsf{full}} = X_{\lceil k/L \rceil} \Delta \; e^{j\Theta_k} \; F_k + N_k \tag{1}$$

where $k = 1, \ldots, nL$ and $\Delta = 1/L$. The process $\{N_k\}$ is an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) circularly-symmetric complex Gaussian process with mean 0 and $\mathbb{E}[|N_k|^2] = \sigma_N^2 \Delta$ while the process $\{\Theta_k\}$ is the discrete-time Wiener process

$$\Theta_{k+1} = \Theta_k + W_k \tag{2}$$

where Θ_1 is uniform on $[-\pi, \pi)$ and $\{W_k\}$ is an i.i.d. real Gaussian process with mean 0 and $\mathbb{E}[|W_k|^2] = \sigma_W^2 = 2\pi\beta\Delta$. Moreover, $\{W_k\}$ is independent of $\{N_k\}$. The random variable

F_k is defined as

$$F_k \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta}\int_{(k-1)\Delta}^{k\Delta} e^{j(\Theta(\tau)-\Theta((k-1)\Delta))}\, d\tau \tag{3}$$

and $\Theta(t)$ is a continuous-time Wiener process:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \int_0^t W(\tau)d\tau \tag{4}$$

where $\Theta(0)$ is uniform on $[-\pi, \pi)$ and $W(t)$ is a real Gaussian process with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W(t)\right] = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W(t_1)W(t_2)\right] = 2\pi\beta\ \delta_D(t_2 - t_1). \tag{6}$$

The parameter $\beta > 0$ is the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the power spectral density of $e^{j\Theta(t)}$. A power constraint is imposed on the transmitted symbols

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[|X_m|^2] \le P. \tag{7}$$

The signal-to-noise ratio SNR is defined as $\mathsf{SNR} = P/\sigma_N^2$.

The model we adopt in this paper does not include the effect of filtering modeled by $\{F_k\}$. More specifically, the k-th output of the simplified model is

$$Y_k = X_{\lceil k/L\rceil\Delta}\ e^{j\Theta_k} + N_k \tag{8}$$

where $\{\Theta_k\}$ and $\{N_k\}$ are the same processes defined earlier. We remark that the process $\{\Theta_k\}$ is not stationary but $\{e^{j\Theta_k}\}$ is stationary.

III. Lower Bound on Capacity

Define $\boldsymbol{Y}_k \equiv (Y_{(k-1)L+1}, Y_{(k-1)L+2}, \ldots, Y_{(k-1)L+L})$. We also use $\boldsymbol{Y}^k$ as a shorthand for $(\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{Y}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Y}_k)$. The capacity of (8) is given by

$$C(\mathsf{SNR}) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sup I(X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n) \tag{9}$$

where the supremum is over all of possible joint distributions of the input symbols satisfying the power constraint. For a given input distribution, the achievable rate R is given by

$$R(\mathsf{SNR}) = I(X; \boldsymbol{Y}) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}I(X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n). \tag{10}$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1: If $L = \lceil\beta\sqrt{\mathsf{SNR}}\rceil$ and the input X^n is i.i.d. with $\arg(X_k)$ independent of $|X_k|$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ such that $\arg(X_k)$ is uniformly distributed over $[-\pi, \pi)$ and $(|X_k|^2 - P/2)$ is exponentially distributed with mean $P/2$, then

$$\lim_{\mathsf{SNR}\to\infty} I(X; \boldsymbol{Y}) - \frac{3}{4}\log\mathsf{SNR} \ge \text{constant}. \tag{11}$$

As a corollary, the capacity pre-log satisfies

$$\lim_{\mathsf{SNR}\to\infty}\frac{C(\mathsf{SNR})}{\log\mathsf{SNR}} \ge \frac{3}{4}. \tag{12}$$

We outline the proof in the rest of this section. Without loss of generality, let $\sigma_N^2 = 1$. Define $X_A \equiv |X|$ and $\Phi_X \equiv \angle X$. We decompose the mutual information using the chain rule into two parts:

$$I(X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n) = I(X_A^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n) + I(\Phi_X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^n). \tag{13}$$

The first term represents the contribution of the amplitude modulation while the second term represents the contribution of the phase modulation. First, we analyze the amplitude modulation term. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I(X_A^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n) &\overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I(X_{A,k}; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^{k-1}) \\ &\overset{(b)}{\ge} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I(X_{A,k}; V_k | X_A^{k-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where V_k is a deterministic function of $(\boldsymbol{Y}^n, X_A^{k-1})$. Step (a) follows from the chain rule of mutual information and (b) follows from the data processing inequality. We choose

$$V_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L}|Y_{(k-1)L+\ell}|^2. \tag{15}$$

When X^n is i.i.d., the pair $(X_{A,k}, V_k)$ with V_k defined in (15) is independent of X_A^{k-1} and therefore

$$I(X_{A,k}; V_k | X_A^{k-1}) = I(X_{A,k}; V_k). \tag{16}$$

By using the auxiliary-channel lower bound theorem in [16, Sec. VI], we have

$$I(X_{A,k}; V_k) \ge \mathbb{E}[\log Q_{V|X_A}(V_k|X_{A,k})] - \mathbb{E}[\log Q_{V,k}(V_k)] \tag{17}$$

where $Q_{V|X_A}(v|x_A)$ is an arbitrary auxiliary channel and

$$Q_{V_k}(v) \equiv \int p_{X_{A,k}}(x_A) Q_{V|X_A}(v|x_A) dx_A \tag{18}$$

where $p_{X_{A,k}}(\cdot)$ is the *true* distribution of $X_{A,k}$, i.e., $Q_V(\cdot)$ is the output distribution obtained by connecting the true input source to the auxiliary channel. We choose the auxiliary channel

$$Q_{V|X_A}(v|x_A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi x_A^2\Delta^2\sigma_N^2}}\exp\left(-\frac{(v - x_A^2\Delta - \sigma_N^2)^2}{4x_A^2\Delta^2\sigma_N^2}\right). \tag{19}$$

Following steps similar to those in [12], it can be shown that if X_A^n is i.i.d. with $|X_k|^2$ distributed according to p_{X_P} for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ where

$$p_{X_P}(|x|^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{P}\exp\left(1 - \frac{2|x|^2}{P}\right), & |x|^2 \ge P/2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

then

$$\lim_{\mathsf{SNR}\to\infty} I(X_A; \boldsymbol{Y}) - \frac{1}{2}\log\mathsf{SNR} \ge -2 - \frac{1}{2}\log(8\pi) \tag{21}$$

where

$$I(X_A; \boldsymbol{Y}) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}I(X_A^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n). \tag{22}$$

Next, we turn our attention to the contribution of the phase modulation. By using the chain rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\Phi_X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^n) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} I(\Phi_{X,k}; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^n, \Phi_X^{k-1}) \\ &\overset{(a)}{\geq} \sum_{k=2}^{n} I(\Phi_{X,k}; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^n, \Phi_X^{k-1}) \\ &\overset{(b)}{\geq} \sum_{k=2}^{n} I(\Phi_{X,k}; \tilde{Y}_k | X_A^n, \Phi_X^{k-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $\tilde{Y}_k$ is a deterministic function of $(\boldsymbol{Y}^n, X_A^n, \Phi_X^{k-1})$. Inequality (a) follows from the non-negativity of mutual information and (b) follows from the data processing inequality.

At high SNR, we use some intuition to choose a reasonable processing of $(\boldsymbol{Y}^n, X_A^n, \Phi_X^{k-1})$ for decoding $\Phi_{X,k}$:

1) Since only the past inputs X^{k-1} are available, the future outputs $\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1}^n$ are not very useful for estimating Θ_{k-1}.
2) Since $\{\Theta_k\}$ is a first-order Markov process, the most recent past input symbol X_{k-1} and the most recent output sample $Y_{(k-1)L}$ are the most useful for estimating Θ_{k-1}. A simple estimator is

$$\begin{aligned} e^{j\widehat{\Theta}_{k-1}} \equiv \frac{Y_{(k-1)L}}{X_{k-1}\Delta} &= e^{j\Theta_{(k-1)L}} + \frac{N_{(k-1)L}}{X_{k-1}\Delta} \\ &= e^{j\Theta_{(k-1)L}} \left(1 + \tilde{Z}_{k-1}^*\right) \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\tilde{Z}_k \equiv \frac{N_{kL}^*\, e^{-j\Theta_{kL}}}{X_k^* \Delta}. \tag{25}$$

3) Given the current input amplitude $|X_k|$ and the estimate of Θ_{k-1}, the first sample $Y_{(k-1)L+1}$ in $\boldsymbol{Y}_k$ is the most useful for decoding $\Phi_{X,k}$ because the following samples become increasingly corrupted by the phase noise. We scale $Y_{(k-1)L+1}$ to normalize the variance of the additive noise and write

$$\frac{Y_{(k-1)L+1}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} = \left(|X_k|\sqrt{\Delta} e^{j\Phi_{X,k}} + \tilde{N}_k\right) e^{j\Theta_{(k-1)L+1}} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\tilde{N}_k \equiv \frac{N_{(k-1)L+1}\, e^{-j\Theta_{(k-1)L+1}}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}. \tag{27}$$

To summarize, we choose

$$\tilde{Y}_k = \frac{Y_{(k-1)L+1}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left(\frac{Y_{(k-1)L}}{X_{k-1}\Delta}\right)^*. \tag{28}$$

It follows from (28), (24) and (26) that

$$\tilde{Y}_k = \left(|X_k|\sqrt{\Delta} e^{j\Phi_{X,k}} + \tilde{N}_k\right) \left(1 + \tilde{Z}_{k-1}\right) e^{jW_{(k-1)L+1}} \tag{29}$$

where $\tilde{N}_k$ and $\tilde{Z}_{k-1}$ are statistically independent and

$$\tilde{N}_k \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1) \tag{30}$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{k-1} \Big| \{|X_{k-1}| = |x_{k-1}|\} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(0, \frac{1}{|x_{k-1}|^2\Delta}\right) \tag{31}$$

which means that, conditioned on $\{|X_{k-1}| = |x_{k-1}|\}$, $\tilde{Z}_{k-1}$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance $1/(|x_{k-1}|^2\Delta)$. Moreover, $W_{(k-1)L+1}$ is statistically independent of $\tilde{N}_k$ and $\tilde{Z}_{k-1}$. The choice of $\tilde{Y}_k$ in (28) implies that

$$I(\Phi_{X,k}; \tilde{Y}_k | X_A^n, X^{k-1}) = I(\Phi_{X,k}; \tilde{Y}_k | X_{A,k}, X_{k-1}). \tag{32}$$

Define $\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} \equiv \angle \tilde{Y}_k$ and

$$Q_{\tilde{\Phi}_Y|\Phi_X}\left(\phi_y | \phi_x\right) \equiv \frac{\exp(\alpha\cos(\phi_y - \phi_x))}{2\pi I_0(\alpha)}. \tag{33}$$

where $I_0(\cdot)$ is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function of the first kind and $\alpha > 0$. This distribution is known as Tikhonov (or von Mises) distribution [17]. Furthermore, define

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_{\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k}|X_{A,k},X_{k-1}}\left(\phi_y \big| |x_k|, x_{k-1}\right) \\ &\equiv \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_{\Phi_{X,k}|X_{A,k},X_{k-1}}\left(\phi_x \big| |x_k|, x_{k-1}\right) Q_{\tilde{\Phi}_Y|\Phi_X}(\phi_y|\phi_x) d\phi_x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The last equality holds because $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are statistically independent and $\Phi_{X,k}$ is independent of $X_{A,k}$ with a uniform distribution on $[-\pi, \pi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &I(\Phi_{X,k}; \tilde{Y}_k | X_{A,k}, X_{k-1}) \\ &\overset{(a)}{\geq} I(\Phi_{X,k}; \tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} | X_{A,k}, X_{k-1}) \\ &\overset{(b)}{\geq} \mathbb{E}\left[\log Q_{\tilde{\Phi}_Y|\Phi_X}(\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k}|\Phi_{X,k})\right] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}\left[\log Q_{\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k}|X_{A,k},X_{k-1}}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} \big| |X_k|, X_{k-1}\right)\right] \\ &\overset{(c)}{=} \log(2\pi) - \log(2\pi I_0(\alpha)) + \alpha\mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} - \Phi_{X,k})\right] \\ &= -\log(I_0(\alpha)) + \alpha\mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} - \Phi_{X,k})\right] \\ &\overset{(d)}{\geq} \frac{1}{2}\log\alpha - \alpha + \alpha\mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} - \Phi_{X,k})\right] \qquad (35) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\alpha - \alpha\frac{\sigma_W^2}{2} - \frac{4\alpha}{\mathsf{SNR}\Delta} \qquad (36) \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the data processing inequality, (b) follows by extending the result of the auxiliary-channel lower bound theorem in [16, Sec. VI], (c) follows from (33) and (34), (d) follows from [18, Lemma 2]

$$I_0(z) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\frac{e^z}{\sqrt{z}} \leq \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{z}} \tag{37}$$

and (d) holds because[1]

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\tilde{\Phi}_{Y,k} - \Phi_{X,k})\right] \geq 1 - \frac{\sigma_W^2}{2} - \frac{4}{\mathsf{SNR}\Delta} \tag{38}$$

[1]The proof is omitted.

for $\mathsf{SNR}\Delta > 2$. It follows from (23), (32) and (36) that

$$\frac{1}{n} I(\Phi_X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^n) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \alpha - \alpha\pi\beta\Delta - \frac{4\alpha}{\mathsf{SNR}\Delta} \right]. \tag{39}$$

Hence, we have

$$I(\Phi_X; \boldsymbol{Y} | X_A) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} I(\Phi_X^n; \boldsymbol{Y}^n | X_A^n) \tag{40}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \log \alpha - \alpha\pi\beta\Delta - \frac{4\alpha}{\mathsf{SNR}\Delta}. \tag{41}$$

Suppose L grows with SNR such that

$$L = \left\lceil \beta \sqrt{\mathsf{SNR}} \right\rceil. \tag{42}$$

Since $\Delta = 1/L$, we have

$$\lim_{\mathsf{SNR}\to\infty} \mathsf{SNR}\Delta^2 = \frac{1}{\beta^2}. \tag{43}$$

Therefore, by setting $\alpha = \mathsf{SNR}\Delta$ and taking the limit of SNR tending to infinity, we have

$$\lim_{\mathsf{SNR}\to\infty} I(\Phi_X; \boldsymbol{Y} | X_A) - \frac{1}{4} \log \mathsf{SNR} \geq \log \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{\pi}{\beta} - 4. \tag{44}$$

The last equation implies that the phase modulation contributes $1/4$ to the pre-log of the information rate when oversampling is employed. It follows from (10), (22), (40) and (13) that

$$I(X; \boldsymbol{Y}) = I(X_A; \boldsymbol{Y}) + I(\Phi_X; \boldsymbol{Y} | X_A) \tag{45}$$

Combining (21) and (44) yields (11).

It is worth pointing out that the phase modulation pre-log of 1/4 requires only 2 samples per symbol for which the time resolution, $1/\Delta$, grows as the square root of the SNR. It is interesting to contemplate whether another receiver, e.g., a non-coherent receiver, can achieve the maximum amplitude modulation pre-log of 1/2 but requires only 1 sample per symbol. If so, one would need only 3 samples per symbol to achieve a pre-log of 3/4.

IV. Conclusion

We studied a discrete-time model of a Wiener phase noise channel with oversampling. We showed that, at high SNR, the capacity grows logarithmically with SNR with a pre-log of at least 3/4 if the number of samples per symbol grows with the square root of the SNR. It was found that amplitude modulation and phase modulation can achieve pre-log factors of 1/2 and 1/4, respectively. In fact, the phase modulation pre-log of 1/4 requires only 2 samples per symbol.

Acknowledgment

H. Ghozlan was supported by a USC Annenberg Fellowship and NSF Grant CCF-09-05235. G. Kramer was supported by an Alexander von Humboldt Professorship endowed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

References

[1] A. Demir, A. Mehrotra, and J. Roychowdhury. Phase noise in oscillators: a unifying theory and numerical methods for characterization. *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, 47(5):655–674, 2000.

[2] A.J. Viterbi. Phase-locked loop dynamics in the presence of noise by Fokker-Planck techniques. *Proc. IEEE*, 51(12):1737–1753, 1963.

[3] E. Casini, R. D. Gaudenzi, and A. Ginesi. DVB-S2 modem algorithms design and performance over typical satellite channels. *Int. J. Satell. Commun. Network*, 22(3):281–318, 2004.

[4] R.W. Tkach and A.R. Chraplyvy. Phase noise and linewidth in an InGaAsP DFB laser. *J. Lightwave Tech.*, 4(11):1711–1716, 1986.

[5] A. Lapidoth. Capacity bounds via duality: A phase noise example. In *Proc. 2nd Asian-Euro. Workshop on Inf. Theory*, pages 58–61, 2002.

[6] J. Dauwels and H.-A. Loeliger. Computation of information rates by particle methods. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 54(1):406–409, Jan. 2008.

[7] A. Barbieri and G. Colavolpe. On the information rate and repeat-accumulate code design for phase noise channels. *IEEE Trans. Comm.*, 59(12):3223–3228, Dec. 2011.

[8] L. Barletta, M. Magarini, and A. Spalvieri. Estimate of information rates of discrete-time first-order Markov phase noise channels. *IEEE Phot. Techn. Lett.*, 23(21):1582–1584, Nov. 2011.

[9] L. Barletta, M. Magarini, and A. Spalvieri. The information rate transferred through the discrete-time Wiener's phase noise channel. *J. Lightwave Tech.*, 30(10):1480–1486, May 2012.

[10] L. Barletta, M. Magarini, and A. Spalvieri. A new lower bound below the information rate of Wiener phase noise channel based on Kalman carrier recovery. *Opt. Express*, 20(23):25471–25477, Nov. 2012.

[11] L. Barletta, M. Magarini, and A. Spalvieri. Tight upper and lower bounds to the information rate of the phase noise channel. *IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, pages 2284–2288, 2013.

[12] H. Ghozlan and G. Kramer. On Wiener phase noise channels at high signal-to-noise ratio. *IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, pages 2279–2283, 2013.

[13] H. Ghozlan and G Kramer. Multi-sample receivers increase information rates for wiener phase noise channels. *Global Telecom. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, pages 1919–1924, 2013.

[14] L. Barletta and G. Kramer. On continuous-time white phase noise channels. *IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, 2014.

[15] L. Barletta and G. Kramer. Signal-to-noise ratio penalties for continuous-time phase noise channels. *Int. Conf. on Cognitive Rad. Oriented Wireless Net. (CROWN)*, 2014.

[16] D.M. Arnold, H.-A. Loeliger, P.O. Vontobel, A. Kavcic, and Wei Zeng. Simulation-based computation of information rates for channels with memory. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 52(8):3498 –3508, Aug. 2006.

[17] K. V. Mardia. *Statistics of Directional Data*. Academic Press, 1972.

[18] H. Ghozlan and G. Kramer. Interference focusing for mitigating cross-phase modulation in a simplified optical fiber model. *IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, pages 2033–2037, 2010.